Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 96TH MEETING OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, Mumbai Ambassador Hotel, Mumbai
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 21st January, 2014

The 96th meeting which was held in Mumbai started with a discussion on the Draft Heritage Bye-laws for "Monolithic Bas Relief Image Depicting Shiv at Parel, Mumbai" which had been prepared by Sh. Sanjay Patil, former CA Mumbai and also Director, Archaeology, Government of Maharashtra. A few experts and some officials from Government of Maharashtra had also been invited on this discussion and extensive consultations were held in this regard. Record of the discussions and decision on the draft Heritage Bye-laws have been circulated separately and would also form part of the record of the 96th meeting as some of the NOC applications cases which were taken up subsequently were decided upon on the basis of decision on the bye-laws for Parel monument.

Thereafter, the following cases were taken up for consideration:

Review Case (Mumbai City NOC Cases)

Case no.01

(Sh. Devang Verma (Omkar Realtors) Plot Bearing C.S. No. 1/431 & 432 of Parel, Sewri Division, Parel, Mumbai)

This case had been considered on several occasions earlier. The applicant had already got NOC from ASI for construction up to 70 mtrs height. He had approached NMA for increase in height limit up to 135 mtrs, which was subsequently revised to 125.75 mtrs (based on clearance given by Mumbai High Rise Committee). Decision on his application had been kept pending for some clarifications (which have since been satisfactorily provided) and in view of the pending Heritage Bye-laws Parel, Mumbai. In the light of discussions held earlier today and the general agreement on the issue, it was decided on review to recommend grant of NOC in this case for a total height of 125.75 mtrs for the "sale" building. Apart from the conditions indicated by CA Mumbai, the applicant would also have to make a provision to allocate some space, not less than 30 sqm, to set up an Interpretation Centre to explain the heritage context of the area and the protected monument in particular.

Case no.02

(Sh. Talib Dixit (Devansh Realty) Ambedkar Marg, Parel, Mumbai)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that earlier the case was recommended by NMA with the height of 57 mtrs for wing "A" i.e. sale building and the height of 26.30 mtrs for wing "B" i.e. education department. The applicant had submitted a review petition that the height applied for earlier i.e. 102 mtrs may be permitted. This case had also been kept pending for decision on Heritage Bye-laws for Parel monument. In view of decision taken regarding the Heritage Bye-laws for Parel monument, on review, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC with total height of 102 mtrs as originally applied for by the applicant, inclusive of parapet, mumty etc.

Fresh Cases

Case no.03

(M/s. Yashraj constructions Sh. Hukamraj Mehta (Proprietor) Guru Niwas, Sion Co-operative Housing Society,Road No. 2, Scheme No. 6, Sion (E), Mumbai)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+Mezzanine+14 storeys with total height of 59.75 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Fresh Case (Mumbai Outskirts NOC Cases)

Case no.01

(Sh. Ganesh Daulat Naykodi, Barav Villages Panchayat, Junnar, Tal-Junnar, Distt. Pune, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 5.90 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.02

(Sh. Suhas Digambar Kirpekar, Karad, Satara, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for parking+3 storeys with total height of 17.10 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc)

Case no.03

(Sh. Sanjay Madhukar Veer and others, Pune Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 20 mtrs i.e. the height of stilt+4 floors can be restricted to 15 mtrs and an extra 5 mtrs for the roof top structures and lift room.

Fresh Case (Aurangabad NOC Cases)

Case no.01

(Mrs. Vijaya Digambar Badve & Mr. Kiran Digambar Badve, Ahmadnagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 storeys with total height of 13.10 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc)

Case no.02

(Sau. Rohini Sanjay Janjale, Rupali Laxman Janjale, Mangal Kiran Janjale, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+3 storeys with total height of 13.85 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc)

Case no.03

(Sh. Prashant Arun Sanghai, Pathardi Shiwar, Taluk Nashik, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 storey with total height of 7.5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). The applicant may be advised to include sloping roof, chajjas, stone façade and earthy colours to the proposed construction of residential building.

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 97TH MEETING (Ist Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 03rd February, 2014

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Deferred Case

Case no.01

(Director, Monorail, MMRDA, Mumbai, Maharshtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 10.0 mtrs for the pier ~~(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc)~~ from road level. It was noted by the Members although this is a public utility infrastructure project and involved only construction of elevated rail track, certain aspects needed to be kept in mind both in view of the importance of the protected site and the fact that this is a public infrastructure project. Therefore, the applicant should, in consultation with ASI, install some monitoring equipments at the site to study the effects of vibrations, sound and air quality at the protected monument.

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Executive Officer, K.R. Road, Bangalore, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was noted that this pertains to renovation work within prohibited area. As such, while recommending grant of NOC for the proposed renovation that is widening of the entrance gate, the applicant must ensure that the rest of the construction is not altered and should remain of the same dimensions.

Case no.02

(Smt. Boramma, Srirangapatna, Mandya, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 3.65 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). The applicant may incorporate a sloping roof with tiles.

Case no.03

(Sh. A. Krishnam Raju, Kaddirampura, Hospet, Bellary, Andhra Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 8 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.04

(Sh. K. Ashok Bhandari, Hiriyangadi, Karkala, Udupi, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 9.75 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.05

(Smt. Manjula, Narasamangala, Chamarajanagar, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.26 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.06

(Smt. Mahadevamma w/o Gurupadappa, Narasamangala, Chamarajanagar, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 5.18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.07

(Smt. Mahadevamma w/o Thandavamurthy, Narasamangala, Chamarajanagar, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 4.87 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.08

(Smt. Mahadevamma w/o Chikkamada Shetty, Narasamangala, Chamarajanagar, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 5.18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.09

(Smt. Nagamma, Narasamangala, Chamarajanagar, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 3.048 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.10

(Sh. S. Venkateshalu, Hospet, Bellary, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 4.42 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.11

(Sh. P.V. Ashok Kumar, Channagiri, Devanagere, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for additional construction on ground floor with total height of 3.96 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.12 to 19 and 21 to 23

Chaukhandi Stupa

(Sh. Nand Lal Yadav, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.13

Chaukhandi Stupa

(Sh. Lalji Gupta, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.14

Chaukhandi Stupa

(Smt. Jarawati Devi, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.15

Chaukhandi Stupa

(Sh. Shaligram Tiwari, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.16

Chaukhandi Stupa

(Smt. Madhu Gupta, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.17

Chaukhandi Stupa

(Sh. Shailendra Kumar Garg, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.18

Dhamekh Stupa

(Smt. Nitu Tahlani, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.19

Dhamekh Stupa

(Sh. Seva Prasad Verma, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.21

Dhamekh Stupa

(Sh. Yogendra Kumar, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.22

Dhamekh Stupa

(Smt. Raj Prabha, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.23

Dhamekh Stupa

(Smt. Pushpa Mishra, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

While discussing the above cases, it was noted by the members that the CA has recommended the cases for grant of permission with building height at one level lower than what the applicant has applied for. However, the CA has not given in detail reasons as to why recommendation in this form has been made nor is it clear from the inspection report. In view of this, it was decided that NOC could be recommended as per the building height/number of floors given in the applications subject to height limit at Dhamek Stupa where maximum height should not exceed 10.6 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank, etc. However, request will be made to the CA asking him to provide the detail justifications for reducing the height limit/number of floors when making his recommendations. CA would be requested to send his comments within four weeks and if no reply is received, the decision as mentioned above would be implemented.

Chaukhandi Stupa where max. ht of 13m has been allowed earlier and

Case no.20, 24, 25,26 & 29

(Smt. Sandhya Singh, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.24

(Smt. Gulaichi Devi, Kushinagar, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.25

(Sh. Bhikchhu Gyaneshwar, Kushinagar, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.29

(Sh. Ven Poyu Metta, Kushinagar, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

It was noted that in these cases the construction is under way or has already been completed. However, it has not been mentioned by the CA as to whether any notice was issued to the applicant, whether work was stopped, up to date photographs of the construction in progress etc. These details may be provided to consider the case further.

Case no.26

(Sh. Alakh Raj Prasad Gaud, Kushinagar, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for ground floor with total height of 6 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank, etc.

Case no.27

(Sh. Ramji and Sh. Laxman Pandey, Kushinagar, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.28

(Sh. Jai Prakash Dubey, Kushinagar, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

Case no.30

(Sh. Pankaj Gupta, Kushinagar, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

These three cases pertain to applicants which are from archaeologically important sites. The surrounding areas also seem to be largely free of any construction and are mainly agricultural land. Keeping in view the archaeological importance of the area, it was felt that it would be appropriate to obtain views of ASI in this matter and also to obtain in details of local development plans that may have been prepared for these sites. These details may be provided by CA.

Case no.31

(The Commissioner, Bahour Commune Panchayat, Bahour, Pudecherry)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC for construction of Bus terminus and toilet block with maximum height 4.97 mtrs including parapet, mumty and water-storage tank etc., It was noted that the total land of the applicant starts from 67 mtrs from the protected site but the proposed construction is at 138 mtrs which is in the regulated area. It must be ensured that the actual construction is at this distance only, that is, 138 mtrs as indicated in the site plan.

Case no.32

(Sh. S. Rajamanickam, Bahour, Puducherry)

On perusal of the application, it was noted that the property falls in the prohibited area as such reconstruction is not permissible. If the applicant desires, he may take up repair/renovation for which afresh applicant may be made.

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 97TH MEETING (2nd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 04th February, 2014

The meeting on the second day started with discussions on Draft Heritage Bye-laws for Begam Puri Mosque. A presentation was made by RD North and SA Delhi Circle. The record of discussions for the bye-laws have been separately prepared and circulated.

Thereafter, the following cases were taken up for consideration.

The minutes of 96th meeting which were circulated on the second day of the 97th meeting have been confirmed, there being no comments from any of the members.

Review Case:

Case no.1

(All India Institute of Medical Sciences through its Executive Engineer Sh. M. Rastogi, Delhi)

The application made on behalf of AIIMS was perused. In these applications AIIMS authority have put two proposals – (a) to allow height up to 37 mtrs in respect of those buildings for which NOC was recommended earlier but with height restriction and (b) NOC for some additional blocks which now fall within the regulated area (as mentioned in the application) after revision of their construction plan.

The applications was discussed in detail. In so far as review of height for the NOC granted earlier, members had differing views. The majority opinion however was to permit increase in the height limit on the considerations that this pertains to a national level medical institute and merited separate consideration. Moreover, this case was considered to be an isolated case and it would not be a percedent. The views of the members who did not support review of the earlier recommendations have been recorded in the observations sheets (Dr. Meera Ishwar Dass, Dr. Sanghamitra Basu, Dr. Bharat Bhushan). Based on this, it was decided to recommend, on review, grant of NOC for height up to 37 mtrs in respect of P-11P-12,P-13,P-14,P-16. Ms. Shalini Mahajan

Regarding the second proposal, it was decided that as it is constituted a fresh proposal, the applicant should make a new application which will be considered on merits.

Case no. 2 – Mr. S Jethwani, Malviya nagar Metro Station (from 97th meeting)

None of the members has raised objections regarding the Malviya nagar building except Dr. R. Hooja. Dr. Basu has commented on the overall design, which may be taken care of at the final stage. Thus, the opinion of the Members and of the Chairperson would support the view of DG, ASI :-

"That there would be no objection to the construction of the proposed building as per details given in the building plan i.e. up to 15 m height comprising of G+3 floors in the 100-200 m zone and up to 30 m height comprising of G+8 floors in the area beyond 200 m. The applicant should follow all the suggestions that have been made by CA, Delhi while recommending the case."

Accordingly, the matter stands decided to recommend grant of NOC for G+3 floors with the total height of 15 m (in 100-200 m zone) and G+8 floors with the total height of 30 m (beyond 200 m zone).

The NOC is recommended along with the observations of CA, Delhi.

Deferred Cases:

9:7th 04/02/14

Case no.1 &2

(Mr.A.K. Gupta, 8, Jantar Mantar Road)

Case no. 2

(Mr.S. Jethwani, Malviya Nagar Metro Station)

Both the cases pertains to construction of office building for DMRC at Jantar Mantar and Malviya Nagar Metro Station. Discussions on the applications remained inclusive and it was decided to consider the case again ~~tomorrow~~ the next day.

Case no. 3

(Smt. Prem Kaur w/o Sh. Ravinder Singh & Sh. Vikramjit Singh, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided to recommend grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with the total height of 27'6" (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Fresh cases:

Case no.1

(Sh. N.K. Janoo, Divisional Director, Social Forestry Division, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided that the concerned area should be maintained as Forest Land only and thus, NOC for the proposed Eco-Restoration tasks not granted. they may be called for presentation to understand the proposal in a proper context. at this stage.

Case no.2

(Municipal Corporation,Rohtak, Haryana)

After perusal of the application it was decided that ASI is to check whether the constructions on the land in and around the ancient mound is authorized and not encroached upon. NOC can only be granted on the basis of the status report from ASI for the same.

Case no.3

(Municipal Corporation, Ambedkar Chowk, near Canal Rest House, Rohtak, Haryana)

After perusal of the application it was decided that ASI is to check whether the constructions on the land in and around the ancient mound is authorized and not encroached upon. NOC can only be granted on the basis of the status report from ASI for the same.

Deferred Case:

Case no.1 &2

(Mr.A.K. Gupta, 8, Jantar Mantar Road)

Case no. 2

(Mr.S. Jethwani, Malviya Nagar Metro Station)

Both the cases pertains to construction of office building for DMRC at Jantar Mantar and Malviya Nagar Metro Station. Discussions on the applications remained inclusive and it was decided to consider the case again ~~tomorrow~~ the next day.

Case no. 3

(Smt. Prem Kaur w/o Sh. Ravinder Singh & Sh. Vikramjit Singh, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with the total height of 27'6" (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Fresh cases:

Case no.1

(Sh. N.K. Janoo, Divisional Director, Social Forestry Division, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application it was decided that the concerned area should be maintained as Forest Land only and thus, NOC for the proposed Eco-Restoration tasks not granted.

Case no.2

(Municipal Corporation,Rohtak, Haryana)

After perusal of the application it was decided that ASI is to check whether the constructions on the land in and around the ancient mound is authorized and not encroached upon. NOC can only be granted on the basis of the status report from ASI for the same.

Case no.3

(Municipal Corporation, Ambedkar Chowk, near Canal Rest House, Rohtak, Haryana)

After perusal of the application it was decided that ASI is to check whether the constructions on the land in and around the ancient mound is authorized and not encroached upon. NOC can only be granted on the basis of the status report from ASI for the same.

Case no.4

(Sh. Baldev Raj, Mandi Road, opp. Municipal Council office, Nurmahal Phil oour, Jalandhar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with the total height of 32 feet$ (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.5

(Sh. Rakesh Kumar,BIII/117A, Mohalla Sudan, Nurmahal Phillour, Jalandhar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floors with the total height of 27 feet$ (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.6

(Smt. Krishna Rani, Mohalla Krishan Nagar, Nurmahal, Phillour Jalandhar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with the total height of 31 feet$ (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.7

(Smt. Nidhi Kumar, Mohalla Krishan Nagar, Nurmahal, Phillour Jalandhar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with the total height of 10.85 meters (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.8

(Smt. Ranjit Kaur, Village & P.O. Uppal Jagir, Nurmahal PHillour, Jalandhar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with the total height of 32 feet$ (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.9

(Shri. Dharamjit Khera, B-18, Soami Nagar, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 meters (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Basement is allowed, as the site is 209m. from the monument, up to a depth of 2.90m.

Case no.10

(M/s Blue Rose construction Pvt. Ltd through its Director Sh. Avtar singh, 47,Housing Society,NDSE-I, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs(including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Basement is permitted as per the dimensions given in application, up to a depth of 2.73m.

Case no. 11

(Smt. Sunita Seth, Shri Kamlesh Seth and Shri Nikhil Seth, H-73A, NDSE-I, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 18 meters (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no. 12

(Shri Sanjiv Kumar Soni,DA-324, SFS flats, Shalimar Bagh, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction of Barsati with the total height of 15.45 meters (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). taking the total height of building to

Case no. 13

(Shri Deepak Kumar Bhardwaj, DA_508, SFS Flats, Shalimar Bagh, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction of Barsati with the total height of 15 meters along with the mumty wall (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). increasing to

Case no. 14

(District Court Saket through Executive Engineer through Shri R.K Tripathi, District Court Complex, Saket, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction of Ground + 2 floors with the total height of 16.20 meters (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc) and the basement is not allowed as the monument is only 154 meters away from the site.

Case no. 15

(Shri Math Guru Goraknath Trust, Plot no. 37, M.B Road, Sector-6, Pushp Vihar, Saket, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction of Ground + 3 floors with the total height of 16.20 meters (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc) and the basement is allowed as per the dimensions mentioned in the application up to a depth of 1.80m, as the site is 240m from the monument.

Case no. 16

(Smt. Pushpinder Kaur, F-20, Nizamuddin West, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) but **no basement** as the monument is at a distance of 108 mtrs from the proposed site hence falling into the first regulated area.

Case no. 17

(Shri Ajaypal Singh Randhawa and Shri Inderbir Singh, BP-4, Jangpura-A, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Basement is permitted as per the dimensions given in application, up to a depth of 2.90m.

Case no. 18

(Smt. Santosh Gupta, Smt. Ritika Aggarwal and Smt. Pinki Gupta, A-37, NDSE-I, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc), after demolition of existing building.

Case no. 19

(M/s Lee Medicare Pvt. Ltd through its director Sh. Jai Prakash Bansal, 42-A, Hanuman Lane, South Delhi, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+4 floors with total height of 17.36 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Basement is permitted as per the dimensions given in application up to a depth of 2.76m.

Case no.20

(Ms. Abha Dayal, Ms. Arti Dayal and Ms. Archana Dayal Mehrish, 11, Navjiwan Vihar)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 18 meters(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Basement is permitted as per the dimensions given in application upto a depth of 3.20m, since the site is 292m. from the monument.

Case no.21

(M/s Satkartar Builders through its Prop. Shri. Sarabjeet Singh and M/s Paul Enterprises through its Prop. Shri S.D Paul, D-196, Saket, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 17.98 meters(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Basement is permitted as per the dimensions given in application upto a depth of 3.23m as the site is 270m. from the monument.

Case no. 22

(Sh. Satya Prakash Bagla, Khasra no. 285, Farm no. 2 situated at Revenue village, Lado sarai, Tehsil Mehrauli, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground + 1 floor with the total height of 14.50 meters(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 23

(Sh. Satya Prakash Bagla, Khasra no. 285, Farm no. 1 situated at Revenue village, Lado sarai, Tehsil Mehrauli, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided that a site plan is needed to confirm the relative position of monument with the proposed construction site.

Case no. 24

(Sh Dharam Vir Chawla, 19, Sadhna Enclave, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt + 4 floors with the total height of 18 meters(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Basement is not granted as the monument is just 102.21 meters away from the construction site & falls in the regulated area.

Case no. 25

(Sh. R. Rai and Sh. G.S Rai, 4-B/2, Old khasra no. 1789 & new Khasra no. 1460, Mehrauli, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt, Ground floor and First floor with the total height of 11.84 mtrs(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 26

(Shri Rajneesh Sehgal, 4-B/1, Old Khasra no. 1789 & new khasra no. 1460, Mehrauli, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt, Ground floor and First floor with the total height of 11.69 mtrs(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 27

(Master Nakul Baghla and Master Dhruv Baghla through their father Shri M.S Baghla, B-2/7, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for additional construction of ground floor, first floor, second floor and construction of third floor to the existing building with the total height of 15.04 meters(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) as per the dimensions provided in the application.

Case no. 28

(Shri Atul Goel and Shri Amit Goel, S-29, Panchsheel Park, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement +Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 17 meters(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).Basement is permitted as per the dimensions given in the application up to a depth of 3.05m, as the site is 225m. from the monument.

Case no. 29

(Smt. Nalini Sehgal, n-37, Panchsheel Park, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Basement+Stilt+Gf+3 floors with the total height of 18 meters(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).Basement is permitted as per the dimensions given in the application up to a depth of 3.20m.

Case no. 30

(Shri Om Prakash and Shri Puran Chand, B-7/39 Ext, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 meters(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 31

(Smt. Ragini Mathur, E-25, Panchsheel Park, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC additional construction of Ground floor, First floor, Second floor and construction of third floor with the total height of 16.53 meters (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 32

(Smt. Renu Gulati, B-66, C.C colony, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 meters (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Basement is not allowed.

Case no. 33

(Smt. Som Sharma, Z-11, Hauz Khas, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 meters(including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Basement is not allowed, as the site is 165 m. from the monument.

Case no. 34

(M/s Gorkha Land Territorial Administration through its House Keeping Cum Building IN charge Shri Kalyani Namgyal, Plot no. 40, M.B Road, Sector-6, Saket, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Ground + 3 floors with the total height of 18 meters (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Basement is not permitted. As the site is 78.5 m from the monument, the applicant has submitted an affidavit that no construction will be undertaken in the prohibited area.

Case no. 35

(Smt. Usha Jain and Shri Hemant Jain, D-71, Vir Nagar, Jain Colony, near Gur ki Mandi, North Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for additional construction of Ground floor & First floor and construction of Second & Third floor with the total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 36

(Sh. Puran Mal Goel, B-17, C.C colony, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction of Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 17.5 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 37

(Smt. Neelam Mohan, 17, Sadhna Enclave, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for additional construction of Ground floor & First floor and construction of Second & Third floor with the total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc).

Case no. 38

(Sh. Indrajit Kanwar Puri, E-49, Panchsheel Park, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). As the distance of the site is 198.2 meters away from the monument, basement shall be constructed after leaving 2 meters area so that the basement is at least 200 meters away from the monument.

Case no. 39

(M/s Global Exchange(RNS) through its partner Sh. Satish Uppal and Sh. Rajiv Uppal,J-19, NDSE-I, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 17.42 meters (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). Basement is not permitted, as the site is 110.5 m. from the monument.

Case no. 40

(M/s AKN Developers through Managing Director Sh Ashok Choudhary, F-39, NDSE-I, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction of ground+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) outside the prohibited area of 100 meters. As mentioned in the application, the applicant shall leave 9 meters of the plot from the rear side to construct in the regulated area. No basement allowed.

Case no. 41

(M/s Veera Apartment Pvt Ltd through authorized Signatory Sh Kamal Nahata, A-2/25, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 meters (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). The basement is up to a depth of 3.048m.

Case no. 42

(Sarojini Trilok Nath Trust through its secretary, S-55, Panchsheel Park, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+Gf+3 floors with the total height of 18 meters (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). As the distance of the site is 198 meters away from the monument, basement shall be constructed after leaving 2 meters area so that the basement is at least 200 meters away from the monument.

Case no. 43

(Smt. Neena Khatri, Smt. Ritu Jain and Smt. Anju Aggarwal, N-18, Panchsheel Park, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 meters (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc). upto a depth of 2.95m.

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 97TH MEETING (3rd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 5th February, 2014

One left over from the 2nd Day was taken up for consideration.

Fresh Case

Case no.44

(Smt. Usha Jain, Agra, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for G+3 floors with total height of 14.67 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Thereafter two deferred cases of Delhi Metro pertaining to construction of office building at Jantar Mantar and Mehrauli were taken up for discussions. These cases had been taken up for discussions on 2nd Day. The clarifications provided by DMRC on the proposed re-designing etc were gone through and the modifications made were generally found to be acceptable. The discussions on the proposed height of the building and basement remained inconclusive and no decision could be taken. It was therefore decided that each member may record his view on this issue and send the same to Member Secretary within a period of one week and thereafter, based on the majority view, the matter would be disposed of.

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 98TH MEETING (Ist Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 17 February, 2014

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Deferred Case

Case no.01

(Rathod Sachin Mohanlal and 2) Rathod Ajay Jaganlal, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that S.A Aurangabad Circle has sent a demolition notice to the applicant on 16th January, 2014 for the unauthorized construction of residential/commercial building. Therefore; it is advisable that the applicant should follow the course of action as mentioned in that demolition notice. CA is requested to follow up the action taken by the applicant and submit a report to this office.

Case no.02

(V. Rajasekhar & others, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for G+ 1 floor with the total height of 7 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, storage tank etc.), this is being recommended keeping in view the height of the protected monument (Palakkad Fort), which is 7 mtrs. It is also advisable that the applicant may incorporate sloping roof in the construction work.

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Sh. Mukesh Bhatia, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 9.07 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.02

(Mrs. Gitika Yein, Babupatty, Sivasagar, Assam)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 8.25 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.03

(Sh. Prashant Arun Sanghai, Pathardi Shiwar Nashik, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 9.4 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.04

(Mrs. Indira Devi K.P., Pattambi, Palakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for extension of ground floor in the existing three storied building. The applicant should ensure that the total height of the building should not exceed 10.20 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.05

(Mr. Sharad N. Khona, Mattancherry, Ernakulam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for construction of 2nd floor over existing g+1 floor provided the total height of the building should be restricted up to 9.75 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). The applicant should retain the façade of 1st floor & also incorporate sloping roof on the proposed construction of 2nd floor.

Case no.06

(Mr. L. Venkitachalam & Bhagavathy. V. Mattancherry, Ernakulam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 6.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.07

(Mrs. Lekha G. Krishnan, Mattancherry, Ernakulam, Kerala)

After consideration of this case, it was noted that the construction was already completed without prior permission. While, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for ground+1 floor with total height of 8.60 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc) a penalty of Rs. 50,000 may be imposed on the applicant for undertaking construction without prior permission and this amount should be utilized through ASI for providing amenities/facilities at the protected monument. The applicant may be advised to demolish the structures of 2nd floor on top.

Case no.08

(Mrs. Valsala, Cherppu, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 4.05 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.09

(Sh. Benny Mathew, Cherppu, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 6.85 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.10

(Mr. Johnson, Cherppu, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 7.40 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). It is also advisable that the applicant may incorporate sloping roof in the construction work

Case no.11

(Mrs. Bhageerathy & Others, Cherppu, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 8.52 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.12

(Mrs. Ambili Suresh, Cherppu, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for G+ 1 floor with total height of 8.08 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.13

(Sh. Ullas & Radhika Ullas, Ariyannur, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 7.57 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). It is also advisable that the applicant incorporate sloping roof in the construction work.

Case no.14

(Mr. Radhakrishnan P.K., Chownnur, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.25 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc)

Case no.15

(Shri. Unnikrishnan, Ariyannur, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.05 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.16

(Mr. Shakeer & Mrs. Faseela, Eyyal, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.17

(Mr. Unnimon & Mrs. Suhara, Eyyal, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.

Case no.18

(Mr. Muhammed Kutty, Cherumanangad, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.22 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc)

Case no.19

(Mr. Sasi Kumar, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.05 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc)

Case no.20

(Mr. Divakaran, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.05 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.21

(Mr. Pramod, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.50 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.22

(Mr. C.C. Varggees, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). It is also advisable that the applicant should retain façade/character of existing building.

Case no.23

(Smt. Sayidha Beevi. K.M., Thiruvallam, Trivandrum, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.85 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.24

(Mr. Abdul Khader P.P., Sultan, Bathery, Wayanad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.30 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.25

(Sh. P. Muhammed Ismail. V., Palakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 7.35 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc)

Case no.26

(Mrs. Noorjahan, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for "Villa" with the total height of 4.20 m and for the commercial building with the total height of 6.70 m, plus basement to a depth of 3.7 mtrs subject to the condition that for commercial block, the applicant should provide a setback of minimum 6 m facing the road.

Case no.27

(Mr. P.P. Sunny, Fort Kochi, Ernakulam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 6.65 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). It is also advisable that the applicant incorporate sloping roof in the construction work.

Case no.28

(Mrs. Suseela Bhai & Mrs. Vinitha, Kattakampal, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 9.55 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). It is also advisable that the applicant incorporate sloping chajjas in the construction work.

Case no.29

(Smt. Radha Vaidyanathan, Panchsheel Park, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floors with total height of 11.65 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 98TH MEETING (2nd Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 18 February, 2014

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Review Case

Case no.01

(Sh. Sachin Shantilal Shah and Sh. Omprakash Ochhavlal Maheshwari, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided on review to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 22.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), keeping in view interim guidelines for Gujarat. It was also noted that the land ownership has been transferred to the present owner & NOC would be issued in his favour.

Fresh cases

Case no.01

(Smt. Rajni Ahmed Ghai, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+stilt+4 storeys with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). The basement will be to a depth of 2.90 mtrs.

Case no.02

(Sh. S.K. Gandhi and Sh. Satish Gandhi, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the site is 101 mtrs from the monument.

Case no.03

(Hind Mazdoor Sabha through its General Secretary SH. Harbhajan Singh Sidhu, Saket, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+4 storeys with total height of 18mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). The basement will be to a depth of 3 mtrs

Case no.04

(Sh. Subramania Krishna Murthi and Sh. S. Raghunath, Shahpur jat, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted, as the site is only 161 mtrs from monument.

Case no.05

(Smt. Shakuntala Kapoor and Smt. Savita Kapoor, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+stilt+4 storeys with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no.06

(Sh. Lovesh Bubna, Daryaganj, New Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 18 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). However, no basement is permitted.

Case no.07

(M/s Kunal Propkem PVt. Ltd. Through its Director Sh. Ashok Sharma, Green Park Main, South Delhi, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+stilt+4 storeys with total height of 17.5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc). Basement allowed to a depth of 2.95 mtrs.

Case no.08

(Sidhartha Buildtech Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon, Haryana)

After perusal of the application, and detailed discussion on the proposal, while certain conditions were envisaged for considering the application, it was decided that the two Whole Time Members would visit the site within the next week to see the ground situation etc. The matter would be taken up again in the meeting on 3rd/4th March, 2014 for a final decision on the case.

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 99th MEETING (1st Day) OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs., 24,Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

Time & Date - 2.30 P.M on 3rd March, 2014

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Fresh cases

Case No. 1

(Shri P.S. Dodamani, Project Director, National Highways of India)

The application was perused. It was noted that the matter had been considered at some stage earlier but no decision had been taken. After examining the proposal in detail it was noted that there is a plan to have a new alignment for this NH for making it 4-lane which would bypass the existing inhabitated area. The new alignment would pass through the regulated areas of basilica of the Jesuit church, the protected moment.

The observations of CA, Goa were also considered, it being mentioned that the new alignment would affect the ambiance of the world heritage site and therefore, it was suggested that the existing 2lane NH may be widened by acquiring land on either side. It has also been suggested by CA, Goa that instead of transplantation of inquisition pillar or new Pillory, the same may be maintained at its present location as a road island.

After considering the relevant aspects and views of CA, Goa, it was decided to **recommend** that the suggestions of CA, Goa may be followed in terms of retaining the existing alignment and widening the NH by acquiring the land as necessary. The new Pillory though not a protected monument could also be

kept at its existing location and measures taken to control pollution and vibration in the event of higher volume of road traffic.

Case No. 2

(Scindia School, Gwalior (Madhya Pradesh)

On perusal of the application it was noted that the applicant is proposing stone cladding of 2 existing buildings in the premises of Gwalior School. The stone cladding involves extension by about 4 feet of the existing fasad and the work had started without taking requisite permission but the same was stopped on getting notices from CA and SA, Bhopal. The site was inspected by a team of ASI officials and after site inspection, it has been decided that the matter could be regularized and the stone cladding by extending the existing fasads of the 2 buildings may be allowed to be taken up.

After considering the relevant factors it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for the proposed stone cladding work with the following stipulations:

(a) The applicant ma set up an Interpretation Centre within school premises of minimum 30 sq. meter area which can explain the heritage and history of the site as well as the surrounding.

(b) A plan for some development of the protected monument which can also include some conservation work may be worked out with local ASI and appropriate funds be earmarked by the applicant for this purpose.

Case No. 3:

(Shri Shahaji Yashwant Salgar, Mumbai)

This application, which is treated as a fresh application related to increase in the height of proposed construction for which earlier NOC had been recommended for 17 meters in all. After perusal of the application it was felt that there was no reason to review the earlier decision which would stand.

The minor changes in the floor area in the construction which is going up may be allowed.

Case No. 4:

(Shri Gurudappa Channappa Ashtagi, Mumbai)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with the condition that the height is to be limited to 15 mtrs. + 3 mtrs extra for roof top structures (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank. etc)

Case No. 5:

(Shri Lalit Bagai and Smt. Nirmal Bagai, N-89, Panchsheel Park, Delhi)

After perusal of the application it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case with the total height to 15 mtrs. + 3 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank, etc.) and no basement is allowed.

Deferred Case

Case No. 8

(Sidhartha Buildtech Pvt. Ltd. Plot No.128, Sector 44, Gurgaon, Haryana)

This case had been considered in the 98th meeting and though a view was to be taken based on opinions of different Members present, it was agreed that final decision may be taken after a site visit by the two Whole Time Members who would also submit a report on their visit. It was decided that a decision on this case would be taken at the 99th meeting scheduled for 3rd March, 2014.

It was also decided that a **final** decision on the case would be taken in the next meeting i.e. on 99th meeting scheduled on 3rd March 2014. The visit of ~~was taken~~ EOOR WTMs place and the report was circulated on 03/03/2014 to all members. Comments were to be sent within 4th March, 2014 but other than Shri Bharat Bhushan, there was no other response. In 99th meeting, following further detailed discussions amongst members present, the recommendations of individual member were recorded as follows:-

Dr. Meera Dass and Sh. Saleem Beg – In the report, submitted specific **recommendations** of these two WTMs have been mentioned regarding the proposed heights, in a staggered pattern starting from a 40m level and going up to 75m. Dr Rima Hooja also suggested staggered construction starting at 40m.

Sh Bharat Bhushan, Dr Sanghamitra Basu and Ms Shalini Mahajan – These members were not present in the meeting. They had not even sent any comments on the report circulated. Shri Bharat Bhushan in his email has not given a view in support of the recommendations of the submitted report and neither has he raised any objection on the case.

Chairperson, DG ASI and Sh Pukhraj Maroo – They have decided that the NOC may be recommended on the basis of the proposed application. The rationale for the same is in the **note of DG, ASI of 4th March 2014**.The note is attached herewith for reference.

Additionally, the following comments were received from Chairperson:

> "I would also like to put on record that the Report on Ali Verdi Mosque submitted by WTMS Dr. Dass and Mr. Beg on 3rd March, 2014, after field visits and one week of deliberation, is sub-standard. It merely repeats information provided in the Synopsis and Circulated at the meeting on 18th February, 2014. No rationale is given for reduction in heights of buildings as suggested in the report."

Since there was no clear decision, the **matter had to be decided by majority view of those who present in the 99th meeting** and accordingly the matter stands decided on the basis of the procedure to be followed regarding majority view, viz, 3 members suggested grant of NOC with certain height restrictions while 3 other members recommended grant of NOC as per height proposed in the application and as per the other conditions as indicated in the note of DG, ASI. The Chairperson has exercised the right to the casting vote.

Hence, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in the case for the towers and height of towers as per plan of the applicant and with the following specific conditions:-

1. There must not be any construction in the prohibited limit of 100 meters and the applicant should give an affidavit of this effect. This should also take into account the land which has been transferred to ASI.

2. The environmental aspect would be looked into by the Environment Committee and it may address the issue of drainage in the area when the construction is to come up.

3. The applicant may integrate landscaping of the 100 meters prohibited area (on the land that belongs to him) along with the overall proposal for construction.

4. The applicant may also facilitate access to the monument which may include road, signages and an Interpretation Centre of at least 30 sq. mtrs. size.

5. ASI should be involved at the stage of digging for the basement and foundation.

Leftover cases of 98th meeting

Case No. 9

(Executive Engineer, PHED)

After perusal of the application and examining various aspects including distance from the protected monument and the existing structures between the monument and the proposed site, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case with total height of 20 meter for the water tank. The applicant may be advised to design the outer wall of the water tank in a manner which is compatible with the local character and also makes use of local and traditional building material. It was also noted that 6 pillars of the water tank had already been constructed without permission and it was decided that the applicant should be asked to set up an Interpretation Centre near the site of at least 30 square meters which would provide information etc. about the protected monument and the local surroundings.